

Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: James Chalfant

1 CALIFORNIANS FOR HOMEOWNERSHIP, INC.

Matthew P. Gelfand (SBN 297910)

2 matt@caforhomes.org

3 Allyson H. Richman (SBN 339822)

allyson@caforhomes.org

4 525 S. Virgil Ave.

Los Angeles, California 90020

5 Telephone: (213) 739-8206

6 Facsimile: (213) 480-7724

7 Attorneys for Petitioner,

Californians for Homeownership, Inc.

9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

10 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

11
12 CALIFORNIANS FOR
HOMEOWNERSHIP, INC., a
13 California nonprofit public benefit
corporation,

14 Petitioner,

15 v.

16 CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA,

17 Respondent.

Case No. 22STCP01388

**VERIFIED PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDATE**

[C.C.P. § 1085; Gov. Code §§ 65587, 65751]

19 Petitioner Californians for Homeownership (“Californians”) alleges as follows:

20 1. California is in the midst of a “housing supply and affordability crisis of
21 historic proportions.” Gov. Code 65589.5(a)(2). That crisis is driven in major part by
22 “activities and policies of many local governments that limit the approval of
23 housing” Gov. Code § 65589.5(a)(1)(B).

24 2. As part of the Legislature’s effort to address this crisis, the law required
25 cities in Southern California to adopt updated housing elements by October 15, 2021.

26 3. South Pasadena did not meet this deadline.

27 4. On behalf of the important public interest in the availability of housing,
28 Californians seeks a writ compelling the City to adopt an updated housing element.

CALIFORNIANS FOR HOMEOWNERSHIP, INC.
LOS ANGELES, CA

PARTIES

1
2 5. Petitioner Californians for Homeownership, Inc. (“Californians”) is a
3 California nonprofit public benefit corporation and 501(c)(3) public charity. Its
4 mission is to address California’s housing crisis through litigation in support of the
5 production and availability of housing affordable to families at all income levels.

6 6. Respondent City of South Pasadena is a city situated in Los Angeles
7 County.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8
9 7. The Court has general subject matter jurisdiction over this action
10 pursuant to Government Code Sections 65587 and 65751 and Code of Civil Procedure
11 Section 1085.

12 8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the City of South Pasadena
13 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 410.10.

14 9. Venue for this action properly lies with this Court pursuant to Code of
15 Civil Procedure Section 394.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

16
17 10. In recent years, the California Legislature has sought to address what it
18 has described as a “housing supply and affordability crisis of historic proportions.”
19 Gov. Code 65589.5(a)(2). “The consequences of failing to effectively and
20 aggressively confront this crisis are hurting millions of Californians, robbing future
21 generations of the chance to call California home, stifling economic opportunities for
22 workers and businesses, worsening poverty and homelessness, and undermining the
23 state’s environmental and climate objectives. While the causes of this crisis are
24 multiple and complex, the absence of meaningful and effective policy reforms to
25 significantly enhance the approval and supply of housing affordable to Californians of
26 all income levels is a key factor.” *Id.* (subdivision numbers omitted).

27 11. As a result of the housing crisis, younger Californians are being denied
28 the opportunities for housing security and homeownership that were afforded to

1 previous generations. Families across economic strata are being forced to rent rather
2 than experience the wealth-building benefits of homeownership.¹ Many middle and
3 lower income families devote more than half of their take-home pay to rent, leaving
4 little money to pay for transportation, food, healthcare and other necessities.² Unable
5 to set aside money for savings, these families are also at risk of losing their housing in
6 the event of a personal financial setback. Indeed, housing insecurity in California has
7 led to a mounting homelessness crisis.³

8 12. Beyond the human toll, California’s housing crisis harms the
9 environment. “[W]hen Californians seeking affordable housing are forced to drive
10 longer distances to work, an increased amount of greenhouse gases and other
11 pollutants is released and puts in jeopardy the achievement of the state’s climate
12 goals.” Gov. Code § 65584.

13 13. At the core of California’s affordable housing crisis is a failure to build
14 enough housing to meet demand. California’s Legislative Analyst’s Office estimates
15 that the state should have been building approximately 210,000 units a year in major
16 metropolitan areas from 1980 to 2010 to meet housing demand. Instead, it built
17 approximately 120,000 units per year.⁴ Today, California ranks 49th out of the 50
18 states in existing housing units per capita.⁵

20 ¹ California Department of Housing and Community Development, *California’s*
21 *Housing Future: Challenges and Opportunities: Final Statewide Housing Assessment*
22 *2025* (2018), available at http://www.hcd.ca.gov/policy-research/plans-reports/docs/SHA_Final_Combined.pdf, at 18-19.

23 ² *Id.* at 27.

24 ³ *Id.* at 3, 48-50.

25 ⁴ Legislative Analyst’s Office, *California’s High Housing Costs: Causes and*
26 *Consequences* (2015), available at <https://lao.ca.gov/reports/2015/finance/housing-costs/housing-costs.pdf>, at 21.

27 ⁵ McKinsey & Company, *A Tool Kit to Close California’s Housing Gap: 3.5*
28 *Million Homes By 2025* (2016), available at https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/featured_insights/Urbanization/Closing_Californias_housing_gap/Closing-Californias-housing-gap-Full-report.ashx, at document page 6.

1 14. California’s housing crisis has been building for decades. The
2 Legislature has recognized that the crisis is driven, in part, “by activities and policies
3 of many local governments that limit the approval of housing, increase the cost of
4 land for housing, and require that high fees and exactions be paid by producers of
5 housing.” Gov. Code § 65589.5(a)(1)(B).

6 15. Over the last five decades, the Legislature has increasingly sought to
7 address the power imbalance at play in local housing policy. The most important state
8 policy addressing the housing crisis is the Regional Housing Needs Allocation
9 (“RHNA”) and housing element system.

10 16. The RHNA system is a process for assessing and allocating housing
11 targets on a periodic basis, generally every eight years. Gov. Code § 65588. It starts
12 with an assessment of statewide housing needs by the California Department of
13 Housing and Community Development (“HCD”). The Department allocates the
14 state’s anticipated housing needs on a region-by-region basis, at different levels of
15 affordability, based on established criteria. Gov. Code §§ 65584.01, 65588. This need
16 is then usually meted out to individual localities by a regional council of governments,
17 as is the case in the Southern California region. Gov. Code § 65584.05.

18 17. Once the allocations are final, each locality is tasked with developing an
19 action plan (the housing element) to enact land use policies that will produce enough
20 housing to meet its RHNA goals. Gov. Code §§ 65583, 65583.2. The housing
21 element must provide an inventory of sites available for residential development and
22 assess constraints and market realities that affect the likely development activity at
23 those sites, including local land use regulations. Localities must make changes to
24 their land use rules, including by rezoning land, if needed to enable housing sufficient
25 to meet their RHNA goals. Gov. Code § 65583(c), 65583.2(h).

26 18. Each city submits a draft of its Housing Element to HCD for review and
27 receives written findings. Gov. Code § 65585(b)(3). In its written findings, HCD
28 makes a determination whether the draft housing element “substantially complies”

1 with the statutory requirements. Gov. Code § 65585(d). Prior to adoption of a
2 housing element, a city must consider HCD’s findings and either amend its housing
3 element accordingly or provide findings of its own as to why it believes the housing
4 element is compliant despite HCD’s determination. § 65585(e), (f).

5 19. California is currently in the midst of the sixth statewide housing element
6 update cycle. For cities in the Southern California region, including the City, the
7 sixth cycle covers an eight-year planning period starting October 15, 2021, and these
8 cities were required to update their housing elements by that date. Gov. Code
9 § 65588(e)(3).

10 20. A city without a compliant updated housing element is prohibited from
11 using its general plan and zoning standards to reject certain housing development
12 projects. *See* Gov. Code § 65589.5(d).

13 21. A city that does not adopt a compliant updated housing element within
14 120 days of the due date is subject to accelerated rezoning under the housing element,
15 once adopted. Gov. Code §§ 65583(c)(1)(A), 65583.2(c), 65588(e)(4)(C).

16 22. An interested party may bring an action under Code of Civil Procedure
17 Section 1085 to determine whether a housing element conforms to the statutory
18 requirements and to compel a city to adopt a compliant housing element. Gov. Code
19 §§ 65587, 65751.

20 23. If a city’s housing element does not substantially comply with state law,
21 a reviewing court must order the city to bring it into compliance within 120 days.
22 Gov. Code § 65754(a). After adopting a housing element subject to such an order, the
23 city must subsequently rezone to obtain consistency with the housing element within
24 120 days. Gov. Code § 65754(b).

25 24. Additionally, the court must subject the city to penalties, which can
26 include a suspension of all non-residential permitting and judicial approval of housing
27 development projects. Gov. Code § 65755(a)(1), (4). The court can also grant this
28 relief on an interim basis during the pendency of litigation. Gov. Code § 65757.

FACTS

1
2 25. The statutory deadline for the City to adopt a sixth cycle updated
3 Housing Element was October 15, 2021.

4 26. The City has not adopted a sixth cycle updated housing element.

5 27. Californians is a non-profit organization that aims to address California’s
6 housing crisis, generally through impact litigation to support the development of and
7 access to housing for families at all income levels. From 2019 through 2021,
8 Californians participated in five lawsuits as petitioner or intervenor, and three more as
9 *amicus curiae*.

10 28. Californians is currently engaged in a statewide effort to enforce both the
11 substantive requirements and the deadlines in state housing element law, for the sixth
12 housing element update cycle. As part of this effort, it has contacted approximately
13 50 cities to address concerns about their compliance with state law.

14 29. For cities that have not yet adopted sixth cycle updated housing
15 elements, Californians’ approach involves contacting each city with a threat of
16 litigation and an offer of compromise whereby Californians would agree to delay
17 litigation in exchange for an acknowledgment by the city of certain state law penalties
18 that apply due to its failure to timely adopt an updated housing element. In response,
19 some cities have agreed to make such an acknowledgment, while others have
20 declined.

21 30. On March 4, 2022, Californians contacted Angelica Frausto-Lupo, the
22 Community Development Director of the City, to threaten litigation and offer this
23 compromise.

24 31. On April 6, 2022, following an email exchange between Californians and
25 counsel for the City, the City Council considered the litigation threat in a closed
26 session meeting.

27 32. On April 7, 2022, counsel for the City sent a letter to Californians to
28 provide information about the closed session meeting. Although the letter generally

1 referenced certain of the state law provisions in Californians’ requested
2 acknowledgment, it declined to provide the acknowledgment in the form and with the
3 level of specificity requested.

4 33. Shortly thereafter on April 7, 2022, Californians responded to indicate
5 that the City’s response was unacceptable and that it would be moving forward with
6 litigation.

7
8 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**
9 **Writ of Mandate to Compel Compliance with Housing Element Law**
10 **(Gov. Code §§ 65587, 65751; C.C.P. § 1085)**

11 34. Californians incorporates and realleges all of the foregoing paragraphs.

12 35. Under Government Code Section 65587, any interested party may bring
13 an action to determine whether all or part of a Housing Element conforms to the
14 statutory requirements.

15 36. Pursuant to Government Code Sections 65587 and 65751, an action to
16 challenge the legal adequacy of a housing element or compliance with housing
17 element deadlines shall be brought under Code of Civil Procedure Section 1085.

18 37. Californians is an interested party for the purposes of these statutes.

19 38. The City’s deadline to adopt a sixth cycle updated housing element was
20 October 15, 2021.

21 39. Because the City missed this deadline, its housing element does not
22 substantially comply with state law and it is subject to an action under Government
23 Code Sections 65587 and 65751.

24 40. Californians has no available administrative remedies.

25 41. Californians has no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law, other than
26 the relief sought herein.

27 42. Accordingly, Californians is entitled to a writ of mandate.
28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays for relief as follows:

1. A writ of mandate directing the City to adopt a sixth cycle updated housing element pursuant to the schedule set forth in Government Code Section 65754.

2. An injunction or order providing relief under Government Code Section 65755.

3. A declaration that:

- a. From October 16, 2021 until the City complies with the Court’s writ of mandate through the adoption of a housing element that substantially complies with state law, the City has not had a housing element that was revised in accordance with Government Code Section 65588 and the City’s housing element has not substantially complied with state law;
- b. The City is required to complete any rezoning required under Government Code Sections 65583(c)(1)(A) or 65583.2(c) by October 15, 2022.
- c. The City is not permitted to use the provisions in subdivision (d)(1) or (d)(5) of Government Code Section 65589.5 to disapprove a housing development project that qualifies for approval under subdivision (d) of that section—that is, a project in which either (A) at least 20 percent of the total units shall be sold or rented to lower income households, as defined in Health and Safety Code Section 50079.5, or (B) 100 percent of the units shall be sold or rented to persons and families of moderate income as defined in Health and Safety Code Section 50093, or persons and families of middle income, as defined in Government Code Section 65008—or to condition the approval of such a project in a manner that renders it

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

infeasible for development for the use of very low, low-, or moderate-income households, or an emergency shelter, including through the use of design review standards.

4. Costs of suit;

5. Attorneys' fees under Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 and as otherwise allowed by law;

6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 18, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,
CALIFORNIANS FOR HOMEOWNERSHIP, INC.

By 
Matthew P. Gelfand

Attorneys for Petitioner,
Californians for Homeownership, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERIFICATION

I, Matthew P. Gelfand, declare:

1. I hold the position of Counsel at Petitioner Californians for Homeownership, Inc., and am familiar with the matters discussed in the foregoing Petition.

2. I have read the Petition and know the contents thereof. The statements of fact therein are true and correct of my own knowledge.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 18, 2022 at Los Angeles, California.



Matthew P. Gelfand